

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL RODMAN,  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
SAFEWAY INC.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 11-cv-03003-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

Re: ECF Nos. 376, 377

The Court previously ordered the parties to (1) submit a proposed schedule for the submission of additional jury instructions, motions in limine, and other items requiring pretrial relief, ECF No. 373 at 2; (2) set a deadline for Safeway to review its prior discovery responses and Mr. Gurthrie's declarations and either supplement them or confirm that no supplementation is necessary, ECF No. 374 at 2; and (3) set a deadline for Safeway to provide Plaintiff with additional metadata relating to the ten newly-discovered documents found on the "legacy" server, id. at 3. On October 8, 2015, the parties submitted letters to the Court indicating that they had reached an agreement on these deadlines. ECF Nos. 376, 377.

The Court adopts the parties' proposals and hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline for Defendant to review and supplement discovery responses and Mr. Guthrie's declarations	October 15, 2015

Event	Deadline
Deadline for Defendant to provide additional metadata relating to the ten newly-discovered documents found on the “legacy” server	October 16, 2015
Deadline to exchange witness and exhibit lists	November 11, 2015
Deadline to file Joint Pretrial Statement and Motions In Limine	November 16, 2015
Deadline to file proposed jury instructions, voir dire questions, and jury verdict forms	November 20, 2015

The Court also notes that Plaintiff has indicated that it may wish to serve “a designee deposition” and a “narrow set of requests to admit in order to attempt to narrow the issues that will need to be presented at trial.” ECF No. 376 at 3. Plaintiff need not obtain the Court’s permission to serve such discovery requests on Defendant. The Court will resolve Defendant’s objections to these requests if and when the discovery is actually served and the Defendant actually objects.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court’s standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 14, 2015

  
 JON S. TIGAR  
 United States District Judge